

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,447	03/11/2004	Dirk Labudde	1708.005US1	6215	
21186	7590 07/28/2006	EXAMINER			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			SMITH, CA	SMITH, CAROLYN L	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1631		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/799,447	LABUDDE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Carolyn L. Smith	1631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.				
Application Papers	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/Election

Specie Election Requirements:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

First specie election requirement:

Specie A: NMR experiments that are 3D experiments (i.e. claims 4-5 and 25-26)

Specie B: NMR experiments that are 2D experiments (i.e. claims 7-8 and 28-29)

Specie C: NMR experiments that are a combination of 2D and 3D experiments (i.e. claims 9 and

30)

Subspecie A-1 or C-1 requirement:

If specie A or C are selected, please select one of the following subspecies:

Species A and C recite patentably distinct species, namely 3D type experiments. If species A or C is elected, then please select one of type experiments as listed in claims 5 and 26, so that initial

examination of this application may proceed.

Second specie election requirement:

These claims recite patentably distinct species, namely NMR experiments for the analysis of side chain signals. Please select one of type experiments as listed in claims 6 and 27, so that initial examination of this application may proceed.

Third specie election requirement:

Specie D: cross-signal pattern search masks that are predefined signal search regions

Specie E: cross-signal pattern search masks that are a number of predefined empty regions

Specie F: cross-signal pattern search masks that are threshold values and search regions

Specie G: cross-signal pattern search masks that are a plurality of sub-search masks

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The distinctness or independence of the various NMR experiments (first and second specie election requirements and subspecies) is because each is directed to different method steps. The distinctness of the cross-signal pattern search mask (third specie election) is because each mask type is directed to distinct features that differ from the other mask scenarios. All of these experiments and masks are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions and features thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were searched together.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the specie that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should an applicant traverse the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0721. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner Tiffany Tabb whose telephone number is (571) 272-0556.

July 19, 2006

arolyn Smith Examiner AU 1631